

## REALIZABILITY OF THE TORUS AND THE PROJECTIVE PLANE IN $\mathbb{R}^4$

BY

ULRICH BREHM

*Technical University of Dresden  
Institute of Geometry, 01062 Dresden, Germany  
e-mail: brehm@math.tu-dresden.de*

AND

GÖRAN SCHILD

*German National Research Center for Computer Science (GMD)  
PF 1316, 53731 Sankt Augustin, Germany  
e-mail: goeran.schild@gmd.de*

### ABSTRACT

We show that every triangulation of the projective plane or the torus is isomorphic to a subcomplex of the boundary complex of a simplicial 5-dimensional convex polytope and thus linearly embeddable in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

In [1] it was proved that every triangulation of the projective plane is linearly embeddable in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Here we give a simpler proof of this observation and we show additionally that the same holds for the torus. We show in addition that they are convexly embeddable, i.e., every such triangulation is a subcomplex of the boundary complex of a simplicial 5-dimensional convex polytope.

We use an easy provable lemma being a modification of lemma 2 in [4].

LEMMA: Let  $U, V$  be two orthogonal subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $P \subseteq U, Q \subseteq V$  two convex polytopes such that  $\mathbf{0} \in \text{rel int } P$  and  $\mathbf{0} \in \text{rel int } Q$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the boundary complex of  $P$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}|$  and  $Q$  are in general position (i.e. they are joinable) and their join  $|\mathcal{F}|Q$  is a convex polytope.

---

Received January 17, 1994

**THEOREM:** *Each triangulation of the projective plane or the torus is a subcomplex of the boundary complex of some simplicial 5-dimensional convex polytope.*

*Proof:* Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a simplicial complex such that  $|\mathcal{K}|$  is the projective plane or the torus. Let  $\mathfrak{c}$  be a cycle representing a nontrivial element of the first homology group of  $\mathcal{K}$  over the field of integers modulo 2 such that  $\mathfrak{c}$  has a minimal number of edges.  $\mathfrak{c}$  as a Eulerian graph is the sum of circles and, because of the minimality property,  $\mathfrak{c}$  itself is a circle.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the subcomplex of  $\mathcal{K}$  spanned by the vertices of  $\mathfrak{c}$  (that is, the set of simplexes of  $\mathcal{K}$  with vertex set in  $\mathfrak{c}$ ). Then  $\mathcal{L}$  consists of exactly the vertices and edges of  $\mathfrak{c}$ :  $\mathfrak{c}$  cannot have a diagonal in  $\mathcal{K}$  because otherwise  $\mathfrak{c}$  would be a sum,  $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}_1 + \mathfrak{c}_2$ , of two circles  $\mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2 \neq 0$ , each consisting of the diagonal and of a part of  $\mathfrak{c}$ ,  $\mathfrak{c}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{c}_2$  being smaller than  $\mathfrak{c}$  and one of them not being homologically trivial.  $\mathcal{L}$  cannot contain a triangle  $\sigma$ , otherwise  $\mathfrak{c}$  would be the boundary of  $\sigma$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the subcomplex of  $\mathcal{K}$  consisting of all simplexes of  $\mathcal{K}$  in which the vertices of  $\mathcal{L}$  do not appear. Then  $|\mathcal{M}|$  is planar because, if we remove a regular neighborhood of  $\mathcal{L}$  from  $\mathcal{K}$ , there will remain a disk or a cylinder (for the methods of proving this see, e.g., [2]).

$\mathcal{M}$  as a planar set can be extended to a triangulation  $\mathcal{M}'$  of the sphere (see [3], p. 36). By the theorem of Steinitz [5],  $\mathcal{M}'$  is the boundary complex of a 3-dimensional convex polytope  $P$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  can be considered as the boundary complex of a 2-dimensional convex polytope  $Q$ . By our lemma we can now construct a 5-dimensional convex polytope  $R$  by joining  $P$  and the boundary of  $Q$  such that the join  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}'$  is the boundary complex of  $R$ .

Every simplex  $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$  is of the form  $\sigma = \tau\nu$  where  $\tau \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}'$ , showing the assertion. ■

*Remark:* The method of the proof cannot be applied for the Klein bottle or for other surfaces of higher genus. Take, for example, two triangulations of the projective plane, remove a triangle from each and glue them along their boundaries in order to get a triangulation  $\mathcal{K}$  of the Klein bottle. Let  $a, b, c$  denote the edges of the common boundary of the two Möbius strips. Subdivide  $\mathcal{K}$  to get a triangulation  $\mathcal{K}'$  such that  $a, b$  and  $c$  are not subdivided in  $\mathcal{K}$  and such that, after the removal of all simplexes having a nonempty intersection with  $a, b, c$ , there will remain two Möbius strips. Then  $\mathcal{K}'$  has the following obvious property: If  $\mathfrak{c}$  is a circle such that a removal of  $\mathfrak{c}$  results in a planar complex then

$c$  has one of the edges  $a, b, c$  as a diagonal and therefore  $\mathcal{L}$  contains not only the edges and vertices of  $c$ , with the terminology used above.

### References

- [1] D. Barnette, *All triangulations of the projective plane are geometrically realizable in  $E^4$* , Israel Journal of Mathematics **44** (1983), 75–87.
- [2] P.J. Giblin, *Graphs, Surfaces and Homology*, Mathematics Series, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1977.
- [3] H. Sachs, *Einführung in die Theorie der endlichen Graphen*, Volume II, Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1972.
- [4] G. Schild, *Some minimal nonembeddable complexes*, Topology and its Applications **53** (1993), 177–185.
- [5] E. Steinitz, *Polyeder und Raumeinteilungen*, in *Enzykl. der math. Wiss. 3 (Geometrie)*, Volume 3AB12, Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1922, pp. 1–139.